

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/089,842 35811 7: | 04/04/2002 | Hideo Matsuoka | 1099-02 | [] |
| | MENT OF PIPER RUD | NICK LLP | EXAMI | NER |
| 18TH AND AF | GAN SQUARE RCH STREETS | | SHORT, PA | TRICIA A |
| PHILADELPH | IA, PA 19103 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1712 | - · · · · · |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/10/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | |
|--|--|
| | Application No. Applicant(s) 10/089842 Matsuoka |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner , Group Art Unit |
| | Short 1712 |
| -The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address— |
| P ri d for Reply | Haras |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | TO EXPIREMONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE |
| from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defa | R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. Jult, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. tatute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on | ne 6, 2003 |
| This action is FINAL . | |
| Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1 | ept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213. |
| Disposition of Claims | |
| Claim(s) 2-20 | is/are pending in the application. |
| Of the above claim(s) 4,5 | is/are withdrawn from consideration. |
| χ Claim(s) $\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{3}{8}$ $\frac{2}{2}$ | is/are allowed. |
| 1 | is/are rejected. |
| / | The state of the s |
| | is/are objected to. |
| ☐ Claim(s)———————————————————————————————————— | are subject to restriction or election requirement. |
| | |
| Application Papers | · |
| Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw | · |
| □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on | ving Review, PTO-948. is □ approved □ disapproved. |
| ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw | ving Review, PTO-948. is □ approved □ disapproved. |
| □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obj □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | ving Review, PTO-948 is approved disapproved. lected to by the Examiner. |
| ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obj | ving Review, PTO-948 is approved disapproved. lected to by the Examiner. |
| □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on | ving Review, PTO-948 is approved disapproved. lected to by the Examiner. |
| □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on | ving Review, PTO-948 isapproved disapproved. lected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). |
| □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on | ving Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. iected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been |
| □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on | ving Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. iected to by the Examiner. runder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been nber) nternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). |
| □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on | ving Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. iected to by the Examiner. runder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been nber) nternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). |
| □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on | ving Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. iected to by the Examiner. runder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been nber) nternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). |
| □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on | ving Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. iected to by the Examiner. runder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been nber) nternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). |

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Art Unit: 1712

Claims 4 and 5 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 10.

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 2 and 3 in Paper No. 10 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the common feature of the claims is a composition comprising polyolefin (PO) and polyphenylene sulfide (PPS). This is not found persuasive because the common feature must be an inventive concept for unity of invention to exist. As shown by the references of record compositions comprising PO and PPS are old and therefore, not an inventive concept.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 6 is indefinite in that it depends from nonelected claims 4 and 5, and in that it ends with "and." In claim 7, © should read (c).

Each of Serizawa, Japanese '339 and Japanese '502 teaches a thermoplastic composition comprising a polyolefin (PO) in amounts within the range of 55 to 89 % with a polyphenylene sulfide (PPS) in amounts within the range of 20 to 45 %. However, there is no teaching of how to obtain a composition within those ranges where the PPS forms the matrix or continuous phase and the PO forms the disperse phase.

Application/Control Number: 10/089,842

Page 3

Art Unit: 1712

P. Short

September 4, 2003

Phone (703) 308-2395

Fax (703) 872-9306

PATRICIA A. SHORT PRIMARY EXAMINER

Potrice a Shot